



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

08/484,340

SMITH ET AL.

Examiner

Group Art Unit

	•	PAUL B. TRAN	1807		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) PAUL B. TRAN	PAUL B. TRAN (3) JOE SMITH				
(2) ANTOINETTE KONSKI		(4) MICHAEL W. HUNKAPI	(4) MICHAEL W. HUNKAPILLER		
Date of Interview Aug 6, 1996					
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:					
Agreement was reached. was not reached.					
Claim(s) discussed: 75 and 101					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
				·····	
	nt to claim 101 to depend f	rom claim 75 to remove restrictio	n between Group	os I and II.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.					
each of the objection claims are now allow	s, rejections and requiremen able, this completed form is	including any attachments) reflect ts that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response riding a separate record of the inte	Office action, ar requirements of	nd since the the last	
		Jaul B.	Jun		
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.					